

AUSTRALIAN PUBLIC SERVICE EMPLOYEE CENSUS 2019



6 MAY-7 JUNE

Highlights Report:

EDUCATION



CONTENT Page Making the most of your results 2 Employee Engagement: Say, Stay, Strive 3 Inclusion and Diversity 4 8 Wellbeing Index Senior Leadership 9 Immediate Supervisor 11 Workplace Culture 13 Workplace Conditions 22 Workgroup Performance 24 25 Performance Management 30 Capability Career Intentions 31 **Risk Management** 33 Innovation Index 34 Time to take action 35 Guide to this report 36

responses: 1,585 of 1,731 response rate: 92%

MAKING THE MOST OF YOUR RESULTS



01.

Identify the areas where you are performing well.

These will tend to be high results which are notably above any comparative results. These should be celebrated. Share the good news with employees.

Understanding your report and getting to action!

The results in this report give you summary information.

Take the time to fully understand this report and digest the results.

Consider your response rate and if it is representative of the views of your colleagues.

Identify areas that need improvement.



These will be the lower results, and/or those which are scoring notably below your comparators. Consider discussing these areas with your colleagues in focus groups or individually or team meetings, gather their thoughts and solutions before deciding on actions to take.



Consider if there is actually room for improvement.

This report shows the proportion of colleagues responding positively (strongly agree + agree), neutrally (neither agree nor disagree) or negatively (disagree + strongly disagree) to the question asked in the survey. Look at how your positive scores compare to your parent unit, and your last survey's results.

04.

Take action – think 'quick wins', short term and long term.

05.

Consider the impact of high neutral responses (lots of employees ticking 'neither agree nor disagree')

Ask your colleagues about their views to find out what is causing this. More communication and involvement may help to shift them to a positive frame of mind. Encourage all colleagues to help with action planning and implementation.

Think about what you want employees to be saying about their working lives in the future and what should be put in place to make this happen.



EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT: SAY, STAY, STRIVE

0

HOW ENGAGED IS YOUR TEAM?

ENGAGEMENT SCORES AREN'T JUST ABOUT HOW MUCH PEOPLE LIKE WORKING FOR AN AGENCY. IT IS A MEASURE OF THE EMOTIONAL CONNECTION AND COMMITMENT EMPLOYEES HAVE TO WORKING FOR THE AGENCY.

\bigcirc	YOUR EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT 70%	RESPONSE S	SCALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
	SCORE				+3	-2	-3	-3
	Considering everything, I am satisfied with my job	72	15 13	72 %	+7 🖸	+2	-3	-1
SAY	I am proud to work in my agency	67	26 7	67 %	+6 🔂	-8 🔮	-10 😍	-11 🕑
SA	I would recommend my agency as a good place to work	61	27 12	61%	+10 🕥	-3	-10 🔮	-8 🔮
	I believe strongly in the purpose and objectives of my agency	80	16	80%	+9 🔂	-2	-3	-5 🕑
STAY	I feel a strong personal attachment to my agency	56	29 15	56%	+3	-9 🕑	-8 🕑	-10 🔮
ST	I feel committed to my agency's goals	73	23	73%	+3	-6 🛛	-7 🔮	-8 🕑
	I suggest ideas to improve our way of doing things	87	11	87 %	+4	+4	0	+1
STRIVE	I am happy to go the 'extra mile' at work when required	94		94%	+1	+3	0	+1
STR	I work beyond what is required in my job to help my agency achieve its objectives	78	19	78 %	+1	-2	-4	-4
	My agency really inspires me to do my best work every day	43	39 18	43 %	+5 🔂	-9 🔮	-12 🔮	-12 🕑
KEY		AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE P COMPARATOR	OINTS LESS THAN		Pc	sitive Neutral	Negative	

Australian Government Australian Public Service Commission

VARIANCE

FROM 2018

0

VARIANCE

FROM APS

OVERALL

-6 🕑

VARIANCE

FROM POLICY

AGENCIES

-50

Demographics RESPONSE SCALE % What is your gender? **EXPLORE** THE FULL 32% Male Female X (Indeterminate/Intersex/Unspecified) Prefer not to say Do you identify as Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander? Yes No Do you have an ongoing disability? Yes No KEY \mathbf{O} THAN COMPARATOR

63% 0 +5 🖸 +4 +50 0% 0 0 0 0 4% 0 +1 +1 +1 4% 0 0 -1 +1 96% 0 +1 0 -1 8% 0 0 +1 0 92% 0 0 -1 0 AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER O COMPARATOR

VARIANCE

FROM LARGE

SIZED

AGENCIES

-6 🕑

0

RESULTS

0	Demographics	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
EXPLORE	In which country were you born?						
THE FULL RESULTS	Australia		80%	0	+3	+1	+2
	Other country		20%	0	-3	-1	-2
	Do you speak a language other than English at home?						
	No, English only		84%	0	+2	+1	+1
	Yes, other		16 %	0	-2	-1	-1
	Do you have carer responsibilities?						
	Yes		46 %	+2	+4	+3	+4
	No		54 %	-2	-4	-3	-4
	KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE PO THAN COMPARATOR	INTS GREATER		COMPARATO	PERCENTAGE POIN DR	TS LESS THAN



0	Demographics	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
EXPLORE	Do you identify as Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Trans, and,	/or Intersex (LGBTI+)?					
THE FULL RESULTS	Yes		6 %	+1	+1	0	+1
	No		89%	-2	-1	-1	-1
	Prefer not to say		5 %	+1	0	0	0
	KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE PO THAN COMPARATOR	DINTS GREATER		COMPARATO	PERCENTAGE POIN DR	TS LESS THAN



		RESPONSE SCALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
	The people in my workgroup behave in an accepting manner towards people from diverse backgrounds	90	90%	+3	+2	0	0
	My SES manager actively supports people of diverse backgrounds	73 24	73%	0	+5 🖸	-5 🔮	-1
Attitudinal	My agency is committed to creating a diverse workforce (e.g. gender, age, cultural and linguistic background, disability, Indigenous, LGBTI+)	80 16	80%	+4	+2	-2	-2
	My supervisor actively supports people from diverse backgrounds	86 11	86%	+1	+1	-1	0
	My agency supports and actively promotes an inclusive workplace culture	82 14	82%	+7 😡	+4	-1	0
KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS T COMPARATOR	IAN	Pc	ositive Neutral	Negative	
	Attitudinal	accepting manner towards people from diverse backgrounds My SES manager actively supports people of diverse backgrounds My agency is committed to creating a diverse workforce (e.g. gender, age, cultural and linguistic background, disability, Indigenous, LGBTI+) My supervisor actively supports people from diverse backgrounds My agency supports and actively promotes an inclusive workplace culture	The people in my workgroup behave in an accepting manner towards people from diverse backgrounds 90 My SES manager actively supports people of diverse backgrounds 73 24 My agency is committed to creating a diverse workforce (e.g. gender, age, cultural and linguistic background, disability, Indiaenous, LGBT+) 80 16 My agency supports and actively promotes an inclusive workplace culture 86 11	RESPONSE SCALE POSITIVE The people in my workgroup behave in an cocepting manner towards people from diverse backgrounds 90 90% My SES manager actively supports people of diverse backgrounds 73 24 73% My agency is committed to creating a diverse workforce (e.g. gender, age, cultural and linguistic background, disability, Indiaenous, LGBTI+) 80 16 80% My agency supports actively supports people from diverse backgrounds 86 11 86% My agency supports and actively promotes an inclusive workplace culture 82 14 82%	RESPONSE SCALE POSITIVE PROM 2018 The people in my workgroup behave in an accepting manner towards people from users backgrounds 90 90% +3 My SES manager actively supports people from users backgrounds 73 24 73% 0 My agency is committed to creating a diverse workforce (e.g. gender, age, cultural and linguistic background, disability, Indicenous, LGBTI+) 80 16 80% +4 My supervisor actively supports people from diverse backgrounds 86 11 86% +1 My agency supports and actively promotes an inclusive workplace culture 82 14 82% +70	RESPONSE SCALE POSITIVE PARM 2018 FROM APS The people in my workgroup behave in an diverse backgrounds 90 90% +3 +2 My SES manager actively supports people from diverse backgrounds 90 90% +3 +2 My SES manager actively supports people from diverse backgrounds 73 24 73% 0 +50 My segnery is committed to creating a diverse background, disability, Indiaenous, LGBTI+) 80 16 80% +4 +2 My supervisor actively supports people from diverse background, disability, Indiaenous, LGBTI+) 86 11 86% +1 +1 My agency supports and actively promotes an inclusive workplace culture 82 14 82% +70 +4	Notice Notice Notice Provide and the provided of t



WELLBEING INDEX

0	+	YOUR WELLBEING INDEX 666%	RESPONSE	SCALE	F	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
WELLBEING		SCORE					+3	-1	-2	-2
WELLBEING		I am satisfied with the policies/practices in place to help me manage my health and wellbeing	70	21	9	70%	+8	+1	-2	-1
THE WELLBEING SCORE PROVIDES A MEASURE OF WELLBEING FOR EMPLOYEES WITHIN AN		My agency does a good job of communicating what it can offer me in terms of health and wellbeing	57	29	15	57 %	+7 🔂	-6 🕑	-5 🕑	-5 🕑
ORGANISATION. IT MEASURES BOTH THE PRACTICAL AND CULTURAL ELEMENTS THAT ALLOW FOR A		My agency does a good job of promoting health and wellbeing	57	29	14	57%	+9 🖸	-4	-4	-4
SUSTAINABLE AND HEALTHY WORKING ENVIRONMENT.		I think my agency cares about my health and wellbeing	54	28 1	18	54%	+8 🗘	-4	-6 🕑	-6 🕑
HIGH LEVELS OF ENGAGEMENT WILL		I believe my immediate supervisor cares about my health and wellbeing	83	1	1	83%	+1	+1	-2	-1
NOT BE SUSTAINABLE AND WILL LEAD TO BURN OUT WITHOUT RECIPROCALLY STRONG LEVELS OF WELLBEING.										
	KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE COMPARATOR	POINTS LESS TH	HAN		Po	sitive Neutral I	Negative	





SENIOR LEADERSHIP

VARIANCE

VARIANCE

FROMIARGE

VARIANCE

EXPLORE THE FULL RESULTS

0

FOR EACH QUESTION SHOWN HERE, INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROPORTION OF COLLEAGUES RESPONDING POSITIVELY (STRONGLY AGREE + AGREE), NEUTRALLY (NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE) OR NEGATIVELY (DISAGREE + STRONGLY DISAGREE) IS PROVIDED Senior leadership: Immediate SES manager

LOOK AT HOW YOUR POSITIVE SCORE COMPARES TO THE AVAILABLE COMPARISONS.

WHERE ARE YOU PERFORMING WELL?

IS THERE ROOM FOR IMPROVEMENT?

KEY O AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR

	RESPONSE SC	ALE	POSITIVE	FROM 2018	FROM APS OVERALL	FROM POLICY AGENCIES	SIZED AGENCIES
My SES manager is of a high quality	74	20	74 %	+1	+6 🔂	-4	+1
My SES manager is sufficiently visible (e.g. can be seen in action)	77	14 8	77%	+1	+12 🖸	-2	+4
My SES manager communicates effectively	71	19 10	71 %	+1	+5 🖸	-5 🔮	0
My SES manager ensures that work effort contributes to the strategic direction of the agency and the APS	71	24	71 %	0	+4	-6 \mathbf	-2
My SES manager effectively leads and manages change	64	26 <mark>10</mark>	64 %	+2	+4	-4	0
My SES manager engages with staff on how to respond to future challenges	68	23 9	68%	+1	+6 🔂	-5 🕑	0

%

VARIANCE

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR

Positive Neutral Negative

2019 APS Employee Census

PAGE 09.



SENIOR LEADERSHIP

_ _ _

.

VARIANCE

EXPLORE THE FULL RESULTS

0

FOR EACH QUESTION SHOWN HERE, INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROPORTION OF COLLEAGUES RESPONDING POSITIVELY (STRONGLY AGREE + AGREE), NEUTRALLY (NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE) OR NEGATIVELY (DISAGREE + STRONGLY DISAGREE) IS PROVIDED

LOOK AT HOW YOUR POSITIVE SCORE COMPARES TO THE AVAILABLE COMPARISONS.

WHERE ARE YOU PERFORMING WELL?

IS THERE ROOM FOR IMPROVEMENT?

KEY O AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR

	RESPON	SE SCALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
In my agency, the SES are sufficiently visible (e.g. can be seen in action)	60	22 18	60%	+8	+5 🖸	-8 🔮	-2
In my agency, communication between the SES and other employees is effective	51	29 20	51%	+11 🔂	+2	-7 🔮	-2
In my agency, the SES actively contribute to the work of our agency	69	23 7	69%	+9 🔂	+6 🔂	-7 🔮	-2
In my agency, the SES are of a high quality	55	33 11	55%	+10 🔂	-1	-12 🔮	-7 🔮
In my agency, the SES work as a team	43	41 17	43 %	+8	-3	-10 🔮	-7 🔮
In my agency, the SES clearly articulate the direction and priorities for our agency	55	30 15	55%	+80	-2	-9 🕑	-6 🕑

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR

Positive Neutral Negative

2019 APS Employee Census



IMMEDIATE SUPERVISOR

VARIANCE

EXPLORE THE FULL RESULTS

6

Immediate supervisor

LOOK AT HOW YOUR POSITIVE SCORE COMPARES TO THE AVAILABLE COMPARISONS.

WHERE ARE YOU PERFORMING WELL?

IS THERE ROOM FOR IMPROVEMENT?

KEY O AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR

	RESPONSE SCALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
My supervisor treats people with respect	88	88%	+1	0	-2	-1
My supervisor communicates effectively	79 10 <mark>11</mark>	79 %	+3	0	-2	-1
My supervisor encourages me to contribute ideas	84 9	84%	+1	+1	-2	-1
My supervisor displays resilience when faced with difficulties or failures	81 11 <mark>8</mark>	81%	+2	+1	-2	-1
My supervisor gives me responsibility and holds me to account for what I deliver	87 9	87 %	+1	+1	-1	0
My supervisor challenges me to consider new ways of doing things	75 17 8	75%	+3	0	-3	-2

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR

O

Positive Neutral Negative

2019 APS Employee Census



IMMEDIATE SUPERVISOR

0	Immediate supervisor	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
EXPLORE	Where is your immediate supervisor's normal work local	tion?					
THE FULL RESULTS	In the same office as me		94%	0	+14 🔂	+4	+10 🔂
	In the same office as me but on a different floor		2%	0	-1	-1	-1
	In a different office, but in the same town/city		0%	0	-2	-1	-1
	In a different town/city or state		4%	0	-11 🕑	-2	-8 😍
	In a different country		0%	0	0	0	0

KEY

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR • AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR



0			RESPONSE SCALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
EXPLORE		I receive the respect I deserve from my colleagues at work	77 18	77%	+2	0	-2	-1
THE FULL RESULTS		Relationships at work are strained [negatively worded question - "Always" or "Often" responses are negative, while "Rarely" or "Never" responses are positive]	51 37 1	² 51%	+7 🔂	-2	-4	-3
FOR EACH QUESTION SHOWN HERE, INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROPORTION OF		My agency actively encourages ethical behaviour by all of its employees	75 18	7 75%	+5 🖸	-6	-6 🔮	-6 🛛
COLLEAGUES RESPONDING POSITIVELY (STRONGLY AGREE + AGREE), NEUTRALLY (NEITHER AGREE NOR		I have unrealistic time pressures [negatively worded question - "Always" or "Often" responses are negative, while "Rarely" or "Never" responses are positive]	23 47 30	23%	+1	-8 🔮	-5 🛛	-5 🕑
DISAGREE) OR NEGATIVELY (DISAGREE + STRONGLY DISAGREE) IS PROVIDED	Culture	Staff are consulted about change at work	47 36 <mark>1</mark> 7	47%	+9 🔂	-1	-5	-3
		I am happy to go the 'extra mile' at work when required	94	94%	+1	+3	0	+1
LOOK AT HOW YOUR POSITIVE SCORE COMPARES TO THE AVAILABLE COMPARISONS.		Internal communication within my agency is effective	48 29 23	48%	+12 🖸	0	-7 👁	-3
		In general, employees in my agency feel they are valued for their contribution	43 36 21	43 %	+90	-3	-10 👁	-7 🔮
WHERE ARE YOU PERFORMING WELL?		My agency really inspires me to do my best work every day	43 39 18	43%	+50	-9 🕑	-12 🔮	-12 🕑
IS THERE ROOM FOR								
IMPROVEMENT?	KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS T COMPARATOR	HAN	Po	ositive Neutral	Negative	



EXPLORE THE FULL RESULTS

Often 41% -2 +2 +3 +2 Sometimes 7% -3 0 +1 0 Rarely 1% 0 0 0 0 0	APS Values	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARC SIZED AGENCIES
Often 41% -2 +2 +3 +2 Sometimes 7% -3 0 +1 0 Rarely 1% 0 0 0 0 0	o colleagues in your immediate workgro veryday work?	oup act in accordance with the APS Values in their					
Sometimes 7% -3 0 +1 0 Rarely 1% 0	Always		49 %	+50	-1	-4	-2
Rarely 1% 0 0 0 0	Often		41 %	-2	+2	+3	+2
	Sometimes		7%	-3	0	+1	0
Never 0% 0 0 0 0	Rarely		1%	0	0	0	0
	Never		0%	0	0	0	0
Not sure 1% 0 0 0 0	Not sure		1%	0	0	0	0
	KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE PO THAN COMPARATOR	OINTS GREATER	(D AT LEAST 5 COMPARATO	PERCENTAGE POIN	TS LESS THAN

EXPLORE THE FULL RESULTS

APS Values	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
Does your supervisor act in accordance with	the APS Values in his or her everyday work?					
Always		63 %	+3	+1	-3	-1
Often		28%	-2	0	+2	+1
Sometimes		6%	-1	0	+1	0
Rarely		1%	0	0	0	0
Never		0%	0	0	0	0
Not sure		2%	0	0	0	0
	•					
KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAG	E POINTS GREATER		AT LEAST 5	PERCENTAGE POIN	TS LESS THAN



EXPLORE THE FULL RESULTS

Often 33% -3 +2 0 0 Sometimes 11% -3 +2 +3 +2 Rarely 2% -2 -1 0 0	APS Values	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARC SIZED AGENCIES
Often 33 % -3 +2 0 0 Sometimes 11 % -3 +2 +3 +2 Rarely 2 % -2 -1 0 0	o senior leaders (i.e. the SES) in your age	ency act in accordance with the APS Values?					
Sometimes 11% -3 +2 +3 +2 Rarely 2% -2 -1 0 0	Always		41 %	+7 🟠	-3	-9 🔮	-6 🔮
Rarely 2 % -2 -1 0 0	Often		33%	-3	+2	0	0
	Sometimes		11 %	-3	+2	+3	+2
Never 0% 0 0 0 0	Rarely		2%	-2	-1	0	0
	Never		0%	0	0	0	0
Not sure 13 % +1 0 +5 ⊙ +3	Not sure		13%	+1	0	+5 🔂	+3



0

EXPLORE THE FULL RESULTS

APS Values	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
Do senior leaders (i.e. the SES) in your agenc	y promote the APS Values?					
Always		36%	-	-6 \mathbf	-8 😍	-7 👁
Often		33%	-	+2	0	+1
Sometimes		16%	-	+3	+3	+2
Rarely		3%	-	0	0	0
Never		1%	-	0	0	0
Not sure		11%	-	+1	+4	+3
KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTA THAN COMPARATOR	GE POINTS GREATER	(D AT LEAST 5 COMPARATO	PERCENTAGE POIN DR	ITS LESS THAN



0	Discrimination	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
EXPLORE	During the last 12 months and in the course of y discrimination on the basis of your background						
THE FULL RESULTS	Yes		12 %	+1	0	+1	+1
	No		88%	-1	0	-1	-1
EMPLOYEES WHO HAD	Did this discrimination occur in your current age	ency?					
PERCEIVED DISCRIMINATION IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS IN THE COURSE OF THEIR	Yes		92%	-3	-2	+1	0
EMPLOYMENT WERE ASKED WHAT THE BASIS WAS FOR THE DISCRIMINATION.	No		8%	+3	+2	-1	0
EMPLOYEES COULD SELECT ONE OR MORE RESPONSES FROM A LIST OF ITEMS.	Basis for the discrimination that you experience	d (3 highest responses):					
ONLY THE THREE TYPES OF DISCRIMINATION WITH	Gender		29 %	-	-	-	-
THE HIGHEST PROPORTION OF RESPONSES ARE PRESENTED HERE. THESE	Caring responsibilities		27 %	-	-	-	-
MAY VARY BETWEEN AGENCIES, WORK UNITS AND WITH RESULTS FOR	Age		25%	-	-	-	-
THE APS OVERALL.							
]
	KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE PC THAN COMPARATOR	DINTS GREATER	(D AT LEAST 5 F COMPARATO	PERCENTAGE POIN PR	TS LESS THAN



0	Bullying and harassment	ESPONSE SCALE %	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
EXPLORE	During the last 12 months, have you been subjected to ha current workplace?	rassment or bullying in your				
THE FULL RESULTS	Yes	139	~ - 2	0	+2	+1
	No	80	% +1	0	-2	-1
	Not Sure	7%	, +1	0	0	0
EMPLOYEES WHO PERCEIVED HARASSMENT OR BULLYING IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS WERE ASKED	Types of harassment or bullying experienced (3 highest r	responses):				
WHAT TYPE OF HARASSMENT OR BULLYING THEY EXPERIENCED AND WHO	Verbal abuse (e.g. offensive language, derogatory remarks, shouting or screaming)	57	% -	-	-	-
WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR IT. EMPLOYEES COULD SELECT ONE OR MORE	Interference with work tasks (i.e. withholding needed information, undermining or sabotage	47	% -	-	-	-
RESPONSES FROM A LIST OF ITEMS. ONLY THE THREE	Inappropriate and unfair application of work policies or rules (e.g. performance management, access to leave, access to learning and development)	29	% -	-	-	-
OPTIONS WITH THE HIGHEST PROPORTION OF RESPONSES ARE PRESENTED HERE. THESE	Person responsible for the harassment or bullying (3 high	lest responses):				
MAY VARY BETWEEN AGENCIES, WORK UNITS AND WITH RESULTS FOR	Someone more senior (other than your supervisor)	37	% -	_	-	-
THE APS OVERALL.	Co-worker	36	% -	-	-	-
	A previous supervisor	26	% -	-	-	-
	КЕҮ	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREAT THAN COMPARATOR	ER	AT LEAST 5 COMPARAT	PERCENTAGE POIN	ITS LESS THAN

0	Corruption	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
EXPLORE	Excluding behaviour reported to you as part of your of witnessed another APS employee in your agency eng may be serious enough to be viewed as corruption?						
THE FULL RESULTS	Yes		4%	+1	0	+1	0
	No		90%	-1	+2	-1	+1
	Not sure		4%	0	-1	0	0
EMPLOYEES WHO INDICATED THAT THEY HAD WITNESSED	Would prefer not to answer		1%	0	-1	0	-1
POTENTIAL CORRUPT BEHAVIOUR WERE ASKED TO DESCRIBE THE BEHAVIOUR. EMPLOYEES	Types of corrupt behaviours witnessed (3 highest res	ponses):					
COULD SELECT ONE OR MORE RESPONSES FROM A LIST OF ITEMS.	Cronyism—preferential treatment of friends		75%	-	-	-	-
ONLY THE THREE TYPES OF CORRUPT BEHAVIOURS WITH THE	Fraud, forgery or embezzlement		15%	-	-	-	-
HIGHEST PROPORTION OF RESPONSES ARE PRESENTED HERE. THESE MAY VARY BETWEEN AGENCIES AND WITH RESULTS FOR THE APS OVERALL.	Acting (or failing to act) in the presence of an undisclosed conflict of interest		12%	-	-	-	-
	KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE PO THAN COMPARATOR	INTS GREATER		D AT LEAST 5 I COMPARATO	PERCENTAGE POIN R	TS LESS THAN



VARIANCE

FROM 2018

+70

+1

+2

+4

%

POSITIVE

80%

66%

80%

79%

18

15

14

7

27

VARIANCE

FROM APS

OVERALL

-4

-5 🕑

-1

-4

VARIANCE

FROM LARGE

SIZED

AGENCIES

-3

-2

0

-2

VARIANCE

FROM POLICY

AGENCIES

-3

-4

-1

-2

EXPLORE THE FULL RESULTS

0

FOR EACH QUESTION SHOWN HERE, INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROPORTION OF COLLEAGUES RESPONDING POSITIVELY (STRONGLY AGREE + AGREE), NEUTRALLY (NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE) OR NEGATIVELY (DISAGREE + STRONGLY DISAGREE) IS PROVIDED

LOOK AT HOW YOUR
POSITIVE SCORE
COMPARES TO THE
AVAILABLE
COMPARISONS.

WHERE ARE YOU PERFORMING WELL?

IS THERE ROOM FOR IMPROVEMENT?



AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR

My agency has procedures in place to

It would be hard to get away with

I am confident that colleagues in my

I feel confident that I would know what to

do if I identified corruption in my workplace

workplace would report corruption

corruption in my workplace

manage corruption

Corruption

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR

C

RESPONSE SCALE

80

80

79

66

Positive Neutral Negative



WORKPLACE CONDITIONS

VARIANCE

VARIANCE

EXPLORE	
THE FULL	
RESULTS	

6

FOR EACH QUESTION SHOWN HERE, INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROPORTION OF COLLEAGUES RESPONDING POSITIVELY (STRONGLY AGREE + AGREE), NEUTRALLY (NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE) OR NEGATIVELY (DISAGREE + STRONGLY DISAGREE) IS PROVIDED

Your job

LOOK AT HOW YOUR POSITIVE SCORE COMPARES TO THE AVAILABLE COMPARISONS.

WHERE ARE YOU PERFORMING WELL?

IS THERE ROOM FOR IMPROVEMENT?

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS \mathbf{O} **KEY** GREATER THAN COMPARATOR

	RESPONSE SC	ALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
My job gives me opportunities to utilise my skills	82	10 8	82%	+4	+1	-3	-2
I am fairly remunerated (e.g. salary, superannuation) for the work that I do	81	81%	+7 🔂	+18 🔂	+7 🔂	+11 🖸	
Considering everything, I am satisfied with my job	72 15 13		72 %	+7 🖸	+2	-3	-1
I am satisfied with my non-monetary employment conditions (e.g. leave, flexible work arrangements, other benefits)	84	10	84%	+5 🖸	+6 🔂	0	+3
I am satisfied with the stability and security of my current job	81	11 8	81%	+14 🖸	+8	0	+7 🔂
I am satisfied with the opportunities for career progression in my agency	41 27	32	41 %	+12 🕥	-2	-5 🕑	-4

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR

0

Positive Neutral Negative

2019 APS Employee Census



VARIANCE

WORKPLACE CONDITIONS

0			RESPONSE SCALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
EXPLORE	working ements	My supervisor actively supports the use of flexible work arrangements by all staff, regardless of gender	84 11	84%	+3	+1	-1	-1
THE FULL RESULTS	Flexible working arrangements	My SES manager actively supports the use of flexible work arrangements by all staff, regardless of gender	68 27	68%	+3	+5 🖸	-5 🕑	-1
FOR EACH QUESTION SHOWN HERE, INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROPORTION OF	Work- life balance	Considering your work and life priorities, how satisfied are you with the work-life balance in your current job?	76 14 <mark>10</mark>	76%	+4	+2	0	+1
COLLEAGUES RESPONDING POSITIVELY (STRONGLY AGREE + AGREE), NEUTRALLY (NEITHER AGREE NOR		My agency provides opportunities for mobility within my agency (e.g. temporary transfers)	56 30 14	56%	+7 🔂	+1	-5 🔮	-3
DISAGREE) OR NEGATIVELY (DISAGREE + STRONGLY DISAGREE) IS PROVIDED	Mobility	My agency provides opportunities for mobility outside my agency (e.g. secondments and temporary transfers)	28 46 26	28%	+8 🗘	-1	-8 🕑	-3
LOOK AT HOW YOUR POSITIVE SCORE COMPARES TO THE AVAILABLE COMPARISONS.		My immediate supervisor actively supports opportunities for mobility	48 42 <mark>10</mark>	48%	0	+1	-2	0
WHERE ARE YOU PERFORMING WELL?								
IS THERE ROOM FOR IMPROVEMENT?]
	KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS TH COMPARATOR	AN	Pc	sitive Neutral	Negative	



WORKGROUP PERFORMANCE

0			RESPONSE	SCALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
EXPLORE		My workgroup has the appropriate skills, capabilities, and knowledge to perform well	72	16 13	72 %	-	-4	-5 🔮	-5 🕑
THE FULL RESULTS		My workgroup has the tools and resources we need to perform well	56	19 26	56%	+1	-3	-5 🕑	-3
FOR EACH QUESTION SHOWN HERE, INFORMATION ABOUT		The work processes we have in place allow me to be as productive as possible	52	22 26	52 %	+5 🖸	-3	-4	-3
THE PROPORTION OF COLLEAGUES RESPONDING POSITIVELY (STRONGLY AGREE + AGREE), NEUTRALLY (NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE) OR NEGATIVELY (DISAGREE + STRONGLY DISAGREE) IS		The people in my workgroup complete work to a high standard	78	17	78%	+2	0	-3	-2
		The people in my work group use time and resources efficiently	69	21 10	69%	-	-1	-3	-2
PROVIDED		My supervisor ensures that my workgroup delivers on what we are responsible for	81	14	81%	-1	+2	-2	-1
LOOK AT HOW YOUR POSITIVE SCORE COMPARES TO THE AVAILABLE COMPARISONS.									
WHERE ARE YOU PERFORMING WELL?									
IS THERE ROOM FOR IMPROVEMENT?]
	KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTA COMPARATOR	GE POINTS LESS THA	N	Pc	ositive Neutral I	Negative	



Australian Government Australian Public Service Commission



RESPONSE SCALE	E %	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
In the past 12 months, have you discussed with your supervisor your overall pe over the previous year and the performance expectations for the future year?	rformance				
Yes	71 %	-4	-4	-3	-1
No	8%	+1	-1	+1	-1
Not applicable (e.g. have not worked with my current supervisor long enough for this conversation to occur)	21 %	+3	+5 🖸	+2	+2
In the past 12 months, did your supervisor recognise when your job performan for any reason?	ce changed				
Yes	23%	-3	-4	0	-1
No	15%	+1	-1	+1	0
Not applicable (e.g. my performance has not changed)	62%	+3	+5 🖸	0	+1
KEY O AT LEAST THAN CON	5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER IPARATOR		AT LEAST 5 COMPARATO	PERCENTAGE POIN DR	ITS LESS THAN
	In the past 12 months, have you discussed with your supervisor your overall performance expectations for the future year? Yes No Not applicable (e.g. have not worked with my current supervisor long enough for this conversation to occur) In the past 12 months, did your supervisor recognise when your job performant for any reason? Yes No No No No No No No No No Yes No No No No Not applicable (e.g. my performance has not changed)	In the past 12 months, have you discussed with your supervisor your overall performance over the previous year and the performance expectations for the future year? Yes 71% No 8% Not applicable (e.g. have not worked with my current supervisor long enough for this conversation 21% In the past 12 months, did your supervisor recognise when your job performance changed for any reason? Yes 23% No 15% Not applicable (e.g. my performance has not changed) 62%	RESPONSE SCALE 7 FROM 2018 In the past 12 months, have you discussed with your supervisor your overall performance cover the previous year and the performance expectations for the future year? 71% -4 No 8% +1 No 8% +1 No 10% 21% In the past 12 months, did your supervisor recognise when your job performance changed for any reason? 21% +3 Yes 23% -3 No 15% +1 No applicable (e.g. my performance has not changed) 62% +3	RESPONSE SCALE % Vertility provide FROM ADD FROM ADD	RESPONSE SCALE % PROM 2018 FROM ADS FROM ADS FROM POLICY In the past 12 months, have you discussed with your supervisor your overall performance over the previous year and the performance expectations for the future year? 71% -4 -4 -3 Yes 71% -4 -4 -3 No 8% +1 -1 +1 Not applicable (e.g., have not worked with my current supervisor long enough for this conversation 21% +3 +5 0 +2 In the past 12 months, did your supervisor recognise when your job performance changed for any reason? -3 -4 0 No 15% +1 -1 +1 No 15% +3 +5 0 0 No 15% +3 +5 0 0 No 15% +1 -1 +1 No 15% +1 0 0 0 No 15% 0 0

0			RESPONS	SE SCALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
EXPLORE THE FULL		To what extent do you agree that in the past 12 months, the performance expectations of your job were clear and unambiguous?	58	23 19	58 %	-8 🕲	-3	-5 O	-4
RESULTS		To what extent do you agree that the support by your supervisor has helped to improve your performance?	60	26 13	60%	+1	+1	-2	-1
FOR EACH QUESTION SHOWN HERE, INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROPORTION OF		My overall experience of performance management in my agency has been useful for my development	43	35 22	43 %	+1	-5 🛛	-6 🕑	-5 🕑
COLLEAGUES RESPONDING POSITIVELY (STRONGLY AGREE + AGREE), NEUTRALLY (NEITHER AGREE NOR		My supervisor openly demonstrates commitment to performance management	61	26 13	61 %	+2	-3	-4	-3
DISAGREE) OR NEGATIVELY (DISAGREE + STRONGLY DISAGREE) IS PROVIDED		I received recognition when I last accomplished something significant at work	69	19 12	69%	-1	+3	-4	-2
		l can identify a clear connection between my job and my agency's purpose	78	15	78 %	+4	-4	-4	-5 🔮
LOOK AT HOW YOUR POSITIVE SCORE COMPARES TO THE AVAILABLE COMPARISONS.									
WHERE ARE YOU PERFORMING WELL?									
IS THERE ROOM FOR									
IMPROVEMENT?	KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR	AT LEAST 5 PERCEN COMPARATOR	ITAGE POINTS LESS THA	AN	Pc	ositive Neutral I	Negative	



0	Managing Underperformance	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
EXPLORE	To what extent do you agree that your agency dea	als with underperformance effectively?					
THE FULL RESULTS	Strongly agree		1%	-	-1	-1	-1
	Agree		12 %	-	-5 🕑	-3	-3
	Neither agree nor disagree		47 %	-	+3	-1	0
	Disagree		26%	-	+3	+3	+3
	Strongly disagree		13%	-	0	+2	+1
	KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE P THAN COMPARATOR	OINTS GREATER		AT LEAST 5 COMPARATO	PERCENTAGE POIN DR	ITS LESS THAN

0	Managing Underperformance	ONSE SCALE %	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGI SIZED AGENCIES
EXPLORE THE FULL	The following questions were asked of employees who i managed someone for underperformance: What were the challenges or difficulties you experienced while underperformance? (3 highest responses)	-				
RESULTS	The time required to manage the underperformance	75%	-	-	-	-
	Managing the impact of the underperformer on team members and/or colleagues	65%	-	-	-	-
	The previous manager did not address the underperformance	63%	-	-	-	-
ANSWERED BY EMPLOYEES WHO INDICATED THAT THEY HAD MANAGED SOMEONE FOR UNDERPERFORMANCE. EMPLOYEES WHO INDICATED	What did you find particularly beneficial or helpful while manag (3 highest responses)	ing this underperformance?				
THAT THEY HAD FOUND SOMETHING PARTICULARLY BENEFICIAL OR HELPFUL WHILE MANAGING THIS	Support from my immediate supervisor	61%	-	-	-	-
UNDERPERFORMANCE WERE ASKED WHAT THEY HAD FOUND BENEFICIAL OR HELPFUL. EMPLOYEES COULD	Support from my agency's HR area	59%	-	-	-	-
SELECT ONE OR MORE RESPONSES FROM A LIST OF ITEMS.	Other	21%	-	-	-	-
ONLY THE THREE OPTIONS WITH THE HIGHEST PROPORTION OF RESPONSES ARE PRESENTED HERE. THESE MAY VARY BETWEEN AGENCIES AND WITH RESULTS FOR THE APS OVERALL.						
	KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR		AT LEAST 5 COMPARATO	PERCENTAGE POIN	ITS LESS THAN

CAPABILITY

0			RESPONSE	SCALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
EXPLORE		My supervisor provides time for me to attend learning programs	77	16 7	77 %	+5 🔂	-3	-5 🕑	-2
THE FULL RESULTS		My supervisor shares links, readings and information	71	16 13	71 %	+6 🐼	-2	0	0
FOR EACH QUESTION SHOWN HERE, INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROPORTION OF		My supervisor provides me with opportunities to develop relevant capabilities for my career	67	20 13	67 %	+7 🕥	0	-3	-1
COLLEAGUES RESPONDING POSITIVELY (STRONGLY AGREE + AGREE), NEUTRALLY		My supervisor gives me the opportunity to apply what I learn in my day-to-day work	73	19 7	73 %	+5 🖸	-1	-3	-2
(NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE) OR NEGATIVELY (DISAGREE + STRONGLY DISAGREE) IS PROVIDED		l access learning and development solutions to meet my needs	66	22 12	66%	-	-8	-7 🕑	-6
		l have a clear understanding of my development needs	77	16 7	77 %	+80	-1	-1	+1
LOOK AT HOW YOUR POSITIVE SCORE COMPARES TO THE AVAILABLE COMPARISONS.		l spend time out of working hours building my capability	50	28 22	50%	+50	-4	-8 🔮	-7 🔮
		l seek out opportunities to apply what I learn in my day-to-day work	76	20	76 %	+5 🕢	-2	-4	-3
WHERE ARE YOU PERFORMING WELL?									
IS THERE ROOM FOR IMPROVEMENT?]
	KEY		AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAG	E POINTS LESS THA	N	Po	sitive Neutral N	Negative	



CAREER INTENTIONS



EXPLORE THE FULL RESULTS

EMPLOYEES WHO INDICATED THAT THEY WANTED TO LEAVE THEIR AGENCY AS SOON AS POSSIBLE OR WITHIN THE NEXT 12 MONTHS WERE ASKED WHY THEY WANTED TO DO SO. EMPLOYEES COULD SELECT ONLY ONE OPTION FROM A LIST OF ITEMS.

	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANC FROM LAR SIZED AGENCIE
the last 12 months, have you applied for a job?						
Yes, outside the APS		11%	0	-1	0	-1
Yes, in my agency		39%	+8	+1	+1	0
Yes, in another APS agency		27 %	0	+9 🖸	+3	+7 🖸
No		43 %	-4	-5 🕑	-2	-3
bur agency?						
want to leave my agency as soon as possible		8%	-2	+2	+2	+2
want to leave my agency as soon as possible want to leave my agency within the next 12 months want to leave my agency within the next 12 months		11 %	-2 -1 -6 O	+2 +2 +1	+2 -1 +2	+2 +1 +2
want to leave my agency as soon as possible want to leave my agency within the next 12 months			-1	+2	-1	+1
want to leave my agency as soon as possible want to leave my agency within the next 12 months want to leave my agency within the next 12 months but feel it will be unlikely in the current environment want to stay working for my agency for the next		11% 11%	-1 -6 O	+2 +1	-1 +2	+1+2



CAREER INTENTIONS

0	RE	SPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
EXPLORE	Primary reasons behind desire to leave agency (3 highest r	responses):					
THE FULL RESULTS	There is a lack of future career opportunities in my agency		29%	-	-	-	-
	I want to try a different type of work or I'm seeking a career change		17 %	-	-	-	-
	Senior leadership is of a poor quality		9%	-	-	-	-
ONLY THE THREE OPTIONS WITH THE HIGHEST PROPORTION OF RESPONSES ARE PRESENTED HERE. THESE MAY VARY BETWEEN AGENCIES, WORK UNITS AND WITH RESULTS FOR THE APS OVERALL.							
	KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE PO THAN COMPARATOR	INTS GREATER	(AT LEAST 5	PERCENTAGE POIN DR	TS LESS THAN
]

RISK MANAGEMENT

0			RESPON	ISE SCALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2018	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
EXPLORE		My agency supports employees to escalate risk-related issues with managers	64	29 7	64%	+3	-6 🛛	-8 🕑	-7 🕑
THE FULL RESULTS		Risk management concerns are discussed openly and honestly in my agency	54	33 13	54%	-1	-5 🕑	-8 🕑	-8 🕑
FOR EACH QUESTION SHOWN HERE, INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROPORTION OF		My agency provides me with opportunities to develop and enhance my skills to manage risk effectively	47	39 14	47 %	-	-6 🕑	-7 🕑	-7 👁
COLLEAGUES RESPONDING POSITIVELY (STRONGLY AGREE + AGREE), NEUTRALLY		Appropriate risk taking is rewarded in my agency	17 48	34	17%	+1	-8 🛛	-8 🕑	-8
(NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE) OR NEGATIVELY (DISAGREE + STRONGLY DISAGREE) IS PROVIDED		SES in my agency demonstrate the importance of managing risk appropriately	38	42 20	38%	-	-4	-11 🕑	-9 🕑
		When things go wrong, my agency uses this as an opportunity to learn	35	43 23	35%	-	-11 🕑	-11 🕑	-13 🕑
LOOK AT HOW YOUR POSITIVE SCORE COMPARES TO THE AVAILABLE COMPARISONS.									
WHERE ARE YOU PERFORMING WELL?									
IS THERE ROOM FOR IMPROVEMENT?									
INFROVENENT?	KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR	AT LEAST 5 PERCI	ENTAGE POINTS LESS THA	N	Pc	sitive Neutral	Negative	



INNOVATION INDEX



PAGE 34.



TIME TO TAKE ACTION

CELEBRATE	Q INVESTIGATE FURTHER WITH OUR TEAMS	OPPORTUNITIES
What things do we do well?	Are there any other opportunities coming out of the results that we want to explore further?	Areas we need to focus on and turn into action plans:
THINK ABOUT HOW WE CAN BUILD ON OUR STRENGTHS AND LEARN FROM WHAT WE ARE GOOD AT.	HOW COULD WE INVESTIGATE? THROUGH LOOKING AT THE DATA IN MORE DETAIL OR THROUGH DISCUSSIONS WITH STAFF?	WHAT ARE THE KEY THINGS WE NEED TO IMPROVE TO MAKE WORKING HERE BETTER?

	PRIORITISE 3 AREAS FOR ACTION	TIMESCALES	OWNER	RESOURCES REQUIRED	TARGET / SUCCESS MEASURE
PAGE TO START YOUR LOCAL ACTION	1				
PLANS IDENTIFY AREAS TO	2				
CELEBRATE, OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROVEMENT AND AREAS WHICH YOU NEED TO INVESTIGATE	3				
FURTHER. PRIORITISE 3 AREAS TO TAKE FORWARD					



GUIDE TO THIS REPORT

% POSITIVE

WHERE RESULTS ARE SHOWN AS POSITIVE PERCENTAGES (% POSITIVE), THESE ARE CALCULATED BY ADDING TOGETHER POSITIVE RESPONSES ("STRONGLY AGREE" + "AGREE") AND DIVIDING BY THE NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS WHO ANSWERED THE QUESTION.

ROUNDING

RESULTS ARE PRESENTED AS WHOLE NUMBERS FOR EASE OF READING, WITH ROUNDING PERFORMED AT THE LAST STAGE OF CALCULATION FOR MAXIMUM ACCURACY. VALUES FROM X.00 TO X.49 ARE ROUNDED DOWN AND VALUES FROM X.50 TO X.99 ARE ROUNDED UP. THEREFORE IN SOME INSTANCES, RESULTS MAY NOT TOTAL 100%.

	STRONGLY AGREE	AGREE	NEITHER	DISAGREE	STRONGLY DISAGREE	TOTAL
NUMBER OF RESPONSES	151	166	176	96	24	613
PERCENTAGE	24.63%	27.08%	28.71%	15.66%	3.92%	100%
ROUNDED PERCENTAGE	25%	27%	29%	16%	4%	101%
NUMBER OF POSITIVE	151 + 166	= 317				
% POSITIVE	317 ÷ 613	5 = 52%				

ANONYMITY

IT IS ENGINE'S PRACTICE NOT TO DISPLAY THE RESULTS OF GROUPS OF RESPONDENTS TO THE EXTENT WHERE THE ANONYMITY OF INDIVIDUALS MAY BE COMPROMISED. RESULTS WILL NOT BE SHOWN WHERE THERE ARE LESS THAN 10 RESPONDENTS IN A GROUP.

COMPARISONS WITH RESULTS FROM PREVIOUS YEARS

THE METHOD OF ANALYSING AND REPORTING SPECIFIC RESULTS MAY BE PERIODICALLY REVIEWED AND REVISED. SUCH IMPROVEMENTS ARE APPLIED TO CURRENT DATA AND THAT OF PREVIOUS YEARS. FOR THIS REASON THE CURRENT REPORT IS ALWAYS THE MOST ACCURATE DATA SOURCE FOR APS EMPLOYEE CENSUS RESULTS, INCLUDING COMPARISONS WITH TIME SERIES DATA.







number of respondents who answered the question

=

% POSITIVE